Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

5 Listing of Claims:

10

15

20

25

Claim 1 (currently amended): A method for determining a motion vector of a current block of a current frame for indicating a region in a reference frame comprising pixel information correlating to the current block, the current frame and reference frame being part of a sequence of frames forming a digital video, the method comprising:

- correlating pixel information of the current block with pixel information of the reference frame indicated by a first motion vector of a block proximate to the current block to determine a first cost function;
- correlating pixel information of the current block with pixel information of the reference frame indicated by a second motion vector to determine a second cost function, the second motion vector being of a block of the reference frame spatially coincident with the current block;
- correlating pixel information of the current block with pixel information of at least a predetermined region of the reference frame to determine at least a third motion vector and corresponding_third cost function;
- selecting at least one of the first, second, and third motion vectors having the lowest cost function as a candidate motion vector;
- correlating pixel information of the current block with pixel information of regions_offset from regions in the reference frame indicated by the candidate motion vectors to determine refined candidate motion vectors and corresponding refined cost functions; and
- selecting the refined candidate motion vector having the lowest refined cost function as the motion vector of the current block.

Appl. No. 10/604,357 Amdt. dated September 15, 2006

Reply to Office action of August 23, 2006

Claim 2 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein correlation of pixel information is

performed according to a sum of absolute differences or a sum of squared differences

function.

5

Claim 3 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein a plurality of proximate blocks are

correlated with, and the first motion vector and first cost function are selected from the

proximate block having the lowest cost function.

10 Claim 4 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein a plurality of proximate blocks are

correlated with, and the first motion vector and first cost function are selected from the

proximate block having the lowest cost function, a mean motion vector of the proximate

blocks being selected as the first motion vector if the corresponding mean cost function

is the lowest cost function.

15

25

Claim 5 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein two of the first, second, and third

motion vectors having the lowest cost functions are selected as candidate motion

vectors.

20 Claim 6 (currently amended): The method of claim 5 wherein nine predetermined regions

are correlated with and two third motion vectors and corresponding third cost functions

are determined.

Claim 7 (original): The method of claim 6 wherein the two third motion vectors are

selected from two regions of the nine predetermined regions having the lowest cost

functions of correlation with the current block.

Claim 8 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the first and second motion vectors are

6

Appl. No. 10/604,357 Amdt. dated September 15, 2006 Reply to Office action of August 23, 2006

only under consideration for selection as the candidate motion vectors when the respective first and second cost functions are below a predetermined threshold.

Claim 9 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein determining the refined candidate motion vectors and corresponding refined cost functions is iteratively performed twice.